Content validity and prioritization scoring template

To assess the content validity and prioritize the draft recommendations, workshop participants completed an appraisal sheet with the following inquiries:

- the *relevance* of each recommendation (how important the recommendation is)
- the *clarity* of each recommendation (how clear the wording is)
- the *essentiality* of each recommendation (how necessary the recommendation is)
- the *importance* of each recommendation
- the *urgency* of each recommendation

The content validity measurement scales followed the Content Validity Index (CVI) approach:

• For the relevancy scale, a 4-point Likert scale was used and responses include: 1 = not relevant, 2 = somewhat relevant, 3=quite relevant, and 4 = very relevant. A 3-point Likert scale was used for the clarity and essentiality scales. The clarity scale was: 1 = not clear, 2 = recommendation needs some revision; and 3 = very clear. For essentiality, the following scale was used: 1 = not essential; 2=useful, but not essential; and 3 = essential.

3-point Likert scales were used for the importance and urgency scales. Further feedback in the form of free text was enabled through an "additional comments" section, in addition to a question relating to the completeness of the list of recommendations.

Clarity		Relevance	Essentiality	Importance	Urgency
0	Not clear	o Not relevant	 Not essential 	o Not important	 Not urgent
0	Recommendation needs some	o Somewhat relevant	 Useful, but not essential 	Somewhat important	o Somewhat urgent
	revision	 Quite relevant 	Essential	Very important	 Very urgent
0	Very clear	 Very relevant 			
Additional comments:					
Are there any recommendations missing, that should be considered?					